

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_99758
Title of the Manuscript:	Divergence analysis of Bitter gourd genotypes for yield and yield attributes
Type of the Article	Research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	YES YES YES YES YES, but add few more references in introduction and discussion It is mentioned in manuscript 17 characters were studied, but actually they have mentioned only 16 characters in introduction and materials and methods. In table four abbreviations were used but their expansions are no way mentioned in the manuscript. 16 characters were described, their abbreviated forms can be mentioned in brackets immediately after each character.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	YES, few typographical mistakes may be corrected.	
Optional/General comments	One table has been mistakenly inserted in bibliography section that can be removed	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dommalapati Sudhakara Rao
Department, University & Country	Acharya N.G. Ranga Agricultural University, India