

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_100042
Title of the Manuscript:	"Influence of Biofertilizers and Organic liquid nutrients on Growth, Yield and Economics of Maize (Zea Mays L.)"
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Yes, the manuscript is important for scientific community. 2. Yes, the title of the article is suitable. 3. Yes, the Abstract of the article should be improved. 4. It seems to be fine. But table can be converted in to figure (Histogram). 5. Yes, correct. 6. Reference format should be organized according to journal guideline.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	1. Language must be proofed by the native Speaker.	
Optional/General comments	At least two graphical presentations would be expected for better understanding.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Md Rashidul Hasan
Department, University & Country	Bangladesh Army University of Engineering and Technology, Bangladesh