

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Nursing and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRNH_99470
Title of the Manuscript:	Systematic review of lessons learned from the Tanzania Mainland Health Financing system towards the introduction of universal health coverage in Zanzibar
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrn.com/index.php/AJRNH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	REVIEWER COMMENTS Title: Systematic review of lessons learned from the Tanzania Mainland Health Financing system towards the introduction of universal health coverage in Zanzibar Thank you for asking me to review this paper, which is a systematic review study, using data from the search literature from 2017 to 2022, with the aims to explore concerns and challenges towards the introduction of universal health coverage in Zanzibar. This is an important public health issue, and this paper adds to that evidence base. The paper is generally well written, and straight forward to follow. However, I have few comments for improvement before recommended for accepted. 1. The reviewer suggests the author to clarify the searched article, it is from 2017 to 2022 OR 2015 to 2022 (refer to Table 1-year published) 2. References writing should be improve especially the abbreviation of journal not following the index medicus style of writing.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lim Kuang Kuay
Department, University & Country	Malaysia