

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCS_99492
Title of the Manuscript:	Studies on Aspergillus flavus on tiger nut (Cyperus esculentus) incubated at different regimes of light
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrcs.com/index.php/AJRCS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) 	<p>The authors can expand the range of temperature regime they used to simulate the temperature of the local climatic temperature (35-40 degree celsius)</p> <p>The title must include temperature regime</p> <p>Yes Yes</p> <p>To less extent</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The researchers have tested the hypothesis using two very close temperatures in rang (28-30 degree Celsius) which might not cause a significant difference in the growth of the fungus which was reflected in the results in tables 1&2</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	yes	
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 		
Optional/General comments	Accepted with some corrections	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Naglaa Mohamed Nazif
Department, University & Country	National Research Centre, Egypt