

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_99500
Title of the Manuscript:	MODELLING SHORT-RUN DYNAMICS OF MACROECONOMIC VARIABLES ON GDP IN ECOWAS COUNTRIES USING PANEL VECTOR AUTOREGRESSION MODEL
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajpas.com/index.php/AJPAS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Yes. The paper utilises Panel Vector Auto-regression to model short-run dynamics of micro-economics variable. Yes. The title of the article is apt and fits the contents of the paper. Yes. The abstract of the article is comprehensive enough and captures all the key areas of a research paper. The manuscript is well structured and subsections are appropriate. Yes. From Materials and Methods and Findings and Discussions, the paper is scientifically correct. Yes. Though some more recent publications could have been added. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	The language quality of the article suitable and appropriate.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	THE MANUSCRIPT IS RECOMMENDED FOR PUBLICATION.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Daniel Kamau
Department, University & Country	Ol'lessos Technical Training Institute, Kenya