

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_99814
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of Radiation Dose Distribution in Nasopharyngeal and Organ Cancer Cases at Risk with Linear Accelerator Radiotherapy 6 MV Photon Energy Using the IMRT Technique at Prof. Hospital. Dr. I.G.N.G Ngoerah
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes. Regardless of the novelty, the manuscript is important for scientific community. Needs information about the hospital location, i.e Bali Indonesia. Abstract should be structured as Background, Methods, Results, Conclusions Yes Yes Most references are too old, more than 5 years. The most recent references: 2021. Other two references: 2018 (5 years).	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Good English	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sagung Rai Indrasari
Department, University & Country	Universitas Gadjah Mada, Indonesia