

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_98725
Title of the Manuscript:	Typhoid Fever: Azithromycin vs. Ceftriaxone for the Treatment of Mild to Moderate Cases in Children
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	The research question is relevant to the scientific community. It is useful as oral medications can be an added advantage in the paediatric population. Yes, but versus may be used for 'vs' Yes. (sentences may be short and crisp for better understanding rather than long sentences) The discussion is very short. Need to elaborate on the discussion to give more details. Cut short the description of the tables so as to avoid repetition. Yes References are not recent. They are mostly from the 1990s. Add recent ones on the same topic and discuss them In the exclusion criteria, pregnancy and lactation are given as exclusion. How a study among children can have an exclusion like pregnancy and lactation. Did not mention randomisation concealment.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Grammatical mistakes may be corrected. I have highlighted some.	
Optional/General comments	Avoid elaborating on the contents of the table in another paragraph. The table itself is self-explanatory. In the conclusion, the dose of azithromycin is given, but it is never mentioned in the methodology or results. Please mention the dose in the methodology also.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Prasanth T S
Department, University & Country	Kerala University of Health Sciences, India