

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_99357
Title of the Manuscript:	Length weight relationships of small indigenous fish Parambassis ranga (Hamilton, 1822) from Ujani wetland of Maharashtra, India.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1- Yes , the manuscript important for scientific community. 2- Yes , the title of the article suitable. 3- Yes , the abstract of the article comprehensive. 4- NO , subsections and structure of the manuscript not appropriate. 5- Yes , I think the manuscript is scientifically correct (Length and weight relationship) but incomplete in studies related to the biological aspect . (This manuscript is strong in studying the relationship between length and weight, but very weak in the lack of studies such as stomach fullness, sex of fishes, gonad maturity, season, health status of fish.) 6- No , the references insufficient (Where are the references that clarify the importance of this type of fish?) And 4 REFERENCES without Journal(?????????)	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes , the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ahmed Kamel Ibrahim Elhammady
Department, University & Country	National Institute of Oceanography and Fisheries, Egypt