

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_99791
Title of the Manuscript:	ANALYSIS OF ECONOMIC GROWTH ON CARBON DIOXIDE GAS EMISSIONS IN G20 COUNTRIES
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajebo.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? Yes (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? Yes (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Yes 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Yes 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Yes 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? Yes <p>If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>		
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? Yes 		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. For me, this is the first time I have read such as a bstract. If the abstract is written in the style of the journal, then this is fine. Otherwise, please rewrite the abstract in a good style and without adding general information. 2. Put your aim of the study in the end of the introduction paragraph. 3. The results for all Figures required more explanations, discussions and comparison with other studies is required. 4. Please indicate your results with other researchers that have the same behaviour in order to support your findings. 5. Compare your result with other studies to make your work more acceptable. 	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nabil Majd Alawi
Department, University & Country	University of Technology, Iraq