

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting                          |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_AJEBA_99791   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | ANALYSIS OF ECONOMIC GROWTH ON CARBON DIOXIDE GAS EMISSIONS IN G20 COUNTRIES |
| Type of the Article      | Original Research Article  |

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajebo.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

|  | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| <p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b><br/>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b><br/>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p> | <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes, but "Turkey" is not the latest name, instead "Turkiye". One of the G20 members (i.e. European Union) was also omitted.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>This is an interesting study, looking at the effect of GDP, GFCF and urban population on carbon dioxide gas emissions in G20 countries. The study design was appropriate for the research question, and the methodology was clearly explained. The results are clearly presented and are in line with expectations, and the conclusion is well justified. However, it would be beneficial to include a discussion section that further explores the implications of the findings and how they could be used to inform policy decisions.</p> |   |
| <p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>   | <p>Yes</p>   |   |
| <p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>  |  |   |

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

|   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |   |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name:                            | <b>Adefarati Oloruntoba</b>                 |
| Department, University & Country | <b>China University of Petroleum, China</b> |