

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Cardiology Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCR_99604
Title of the Manuscript:	Giant Pulmonary Artery Aneurysm associated to severe mitral stenosis: Review and case report
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcr.com/index.php/AJCR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	YES YES NOT ENOUGH NOT ENOUGH YES YES Following Guidelines to writing A clinician case report [doi104103/ 1995-705X217857], case report must include an INTRODUCTION a brief review of the problem, a CASE DESCRIPTION of the patient history physical examination, results of investigation, treatment and outcome, a DISCUSSION the most important part of the case report and a CONCLUSION with the recommendations to the reader The keywords must be included in the abstract The aim of the study must be at the end of the introduction References OK, 12/24 are ≤ 10 years THERE ARE NO PAGES NUMBERS	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	YES	
Optional/General comments	The authors must arrange as mentioned above	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Michel Lelo Tshikwela
Department, University & Country	Kinshasa University, Kasa-Vubu University, Democratic Republic Of The Congo