

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_99803
Title of the Manuscript:	Axial torsion of a Giant Meckel's Diverticulum Causing small bowel obstruction: A case report and Review of the literature
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcrs.com/index.php/AJCRS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</p> <p>An Interesting case study on unusual complication of the giant Meckel's Diverticulum, the case-report highlights the presentation and management of Axial torsion of Meckel's Diverticulum which is rather uncommon complication that worth sharing to the scientific community.</p> <p>Is the title of the article suitable?</p> <p>The article title is appropriate for this particular case-report.</p> <p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>The abstract section is nicely written and detailed enough to summarize the entire case report.</p> <p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>Manuscript subsections and structure are appropriate and fairly written.</p> <p>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>Overall, the case-study is relevant and scientifically robust.</p> <p>Are the references sufficient and recent?</p> <p>References are sufficient, pertinent and most contains up-to-date information.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Quality of language used is standard and appropriate.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>NIL</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sefu Juma Uledi
Department, University & Country	Nyangabgwe Referral Hospital, Botswana