

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_100133
Title of the Manuscript:	Mesodiverticular Band of Meckel's Diverticulum Causing small bowel obstruction A case report and review of the literature
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcrs.com/index.php/AJCRS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Like every other case report, it's not essential for the scientific community and medicine. Case series are needed for evidence-based medicine. 2. It is clear. 3. Yes. 4. There are appropriate. 5. Yes. 6. There are sufficient and recent. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>It is suitable for an academic article.</p> <p>Keywords are too many, and three will be enough!</p> <p>Systematic review of Mesodiverticular band. Search strategy. A systematic search in PubMed was performed on April 1, 2021 with time frame 1950 to 2021. The text terms in the or abstract "Mesodiverticular band", "Vitelline band" and vitelline artery remnants was included. The work has been reported in line with PRISMA arteria and in compliance with AMSTAR2 . We included 20 cases of Mesodiverticular band in the review of literature. The patients were aged 18-75 years. Male: Female ratio was 3:1. The main clinical presentation were small bowel obstruction followed by hemoperitoneam. Small bowel obstruction was reported in 15 patients, 5 of them had strangulated small bowel, 3 with gangrene of Meckel's Diverticulum and 1 with an obstructed umbilical hernia. Hemoperitoneam was reported in 4 patients and one patient have a ruptured aneurysmal of vitelline artery which was patient artery. One death reported was diagnosed on postmortem. This study has some limitations because of small number a reported cases and this anomaly is very rare. [7] The Systemic review doesn't need to be cited directly. The authors must be discussed it! This part of the manuscript must be corrected.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>A case report with clear exposition but without academic burden (like every case report). However, the author wrote an excellent structured manuscript! I recommend it be published after revision of the remarks!</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tsvetan Trichkov
Department, University & Country	Military Medical Academy, Bulgaria