

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_100133
Title of the Manuscript:	Mesodiverticular Band of Meckel's Diverticulum Causing small bowel obstruction A case report and review of the literature
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcrs.com/index.php/AJCRS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>Because there are few cases of mesodiverticular band of Meckel's diverticulum causing small bowel obstruction there is always some interest for these cases</p> <p>the word obstruction should be replaced by strangulation because that was the interesting point of the case</p> <p>The abstract at the beginning is quite difficult to follow The authors should rephrase the and reduce the introduction :The Mesodiverticular band, embryologic remnant of the vitelline artery -the supplying artery of Meckel's Diverticulum, can trap and strangulate small bowel loops causing leading to bowel necrosis and acute abdomen. Then, they should present their case starting from the presentation and ending to the surgical findings</p> <p>The introduction is quite good as it stresses why the case is important. In case presentation authors should provide data on CT findings and if there was any niche about surgical findings The discussion should be rewritten focusing on details related to the case and avoid copying Sahads review. They should enter relevant details from this review if they could connect them to their case Apart from the above mentioned</p> <p>Authors should be placed first then the title following by Journal On the other site references are adequate</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language needs polishing since several parts are difficult to follow	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Panagiotis Tsibouris
Department, University & Country	NIMTS General Hospital, Greece