

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_100023
Title of the Manuscript:	Perception of Humanities' Graduates on their Employability in Bhutan
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajarr.com/index.php/AJARR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Unemployment indicates the health of the economy. Thus, the topic selected for the present investigation bears universal significance , particularly among the graduate students.</p> <p>2. The title is apt, still it can be revised as 'Graduate perceptions on Unemployment' or 'Employment and its impact of Bhutan Graduates'.</p> <p>3. Abstract comprise 172 words. A sentence on implications as well as further research may be included in the abstract.</p> <p>4. 1.3 and 1.4 at page No.3 may be stated as OBJECTIVES, RESEARCH QUESTIONS. In the same way IMPLICATIONS.</p> <p>5. The manuscript found to be scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. Nearly 27 references were furnished, the recent one may be incorporated.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Many scholars have conducted research on graduate unemployment in Bhutan as a result of the worrisome rise in unemployment among graduates, however there is a scarcity of practical research on unemployed humanities graduates"- What is practical research? Better reframe the sentence</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>No need to enclose acronyms/ tool/questionnaire and letter of introduction (May not be published)</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	N. Samuel Babu
Department, University & Country	St. Joseph's PG College, India