

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAHR_99824
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of Mechanized Agriculture in China
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajahr.com/index.php/AJAHR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, this manuscript is important for scientific community but it contains only theoretical or thematic information which is not enough for analysis and future studies. Scientific community or study needs statistical or graphical representation for analysis and interpretation but this manuscript doesn't include enough information. Not suitable, (Study on Adoption of Mechanized Agriculture in China) The Abstract of article is not comprehensive. It should include quality of Summarization of respective topic. No No, this scientific article is about analysis and interpretation it should comprise of statistical data, graphical representations and reasons for switching.</p> <p>References are not sufficient; Source from which data collected should be mentioned with particular author, book/journal, title of article, publication, year and page number.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The manuscript should consist of statistical data, graphical representations and proofs or photos of survey. Same information or lines were repeated many times in the article, it should be convey in different ways. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Suitable	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ramesh Beerge
Department, University & Country	University of Agricultural Sciences, India