

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_99860
Title of the Manuscript:	Perception of Tasar Silkworm Rearers about The Climate Change Impact On The Tasar Silk Production Of Maharashtra
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The MS throws light on Impact of Climate Change and it's Perceptions at rearers level in tasar grown districts of Maharashtra.</p> <p>2. The Title has been modified which may be revised accordingly.</p> <p>3. The abstract has been modified which may be revised accordingly.</p> <p>4. Appropriate.</p> <p>5. Scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. Sufficient.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Suitable but adding more contents covering some more aspects.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Repetitive statements deliberating same matter should always be avoided. However, authors attempt to work out climate change impact on tasar production id appreciable. The MS may be revised and published.</p> <p>The MS contains valuable information on climate change and it's impacts on tasar production in the state of Maharashtra. The first hand information collected from tasar growers will be helpful in taking future corrective measures to avoid losses of tasar production.</p> <p>The MS throws light on the impact of climate change on perception of tasar rearers and and silk production.</p> <p>Good information.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pradeep Kumar Mishra
Department, University & Country	India