

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_98913
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Phenolics and Aromatics Compounds of Placenta Three Cocoa Varieties (Theobroma cacao L) Cvs 'ultivated in Cote d Ivoire'
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalafsj.com/index.php/AFSJ/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>There are some suggestions to add in the manuscript, try to see in the manuscript. thank you</p> <p>1. The topics discussed are very interesting to me, so I'm happy to correct them.</p> <p>2. I think the Tittle is suitable</p> <p>3. Yes, it is. That makes the article is too general</p> <p>4. No, they are not. That makes the article is too general</p> <p>5. Yes, I do. I think the manuscript scientifically correct enough</p> <p>6. No, They are not. Please takes the references recent</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>There are several information in the results and discussion that need clarification</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Overall, an interesting research topic</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rezza Ruzuqi
Department, University & Country	Marine and Fisheries Polytechnic of Sorong, Indonesia