

ReviewForm 1.7

JournalName:	ArchivesofCurrentResearchInternational
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_ACRI_99091
TitleoftheManuscript:	ASSESSMENTOF SOLIDWASTEMANAGEMENTMETHODSIN SOMESELECTEDPARTSOFOWERRIWEST,IMOSTATE,NIGERIA.
TypeoftheArticle	

Generalguidelinefor PeerReviewprocess:

Thisjournal'speerreviewpolicystatesthat**NO**manuscriptsshouldberejectedonlyonthebasisof'**lackofNovelty**',providedthemanuscriptis scientificallyrobustandtechnically sound.ToknowthecompleteguidelineforPeerReviewprocess,reviewersarerequestedtovisitthis link:

(<https://www.journalacri.com/index.php/ACRI/editorial-policy>)

[ReviewForm 1.7](#)

PART 1: ReviewComments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>L = Line</p> <p>Abstract L13: "Four hundred and four (404)" -> Why 404 if the desired number was 416 (L16)?</p> <p>Introduction L46: "...are more and are essential..." -> rewrite</p> <p>Materials and method -> Figure 1 is not cited in the text, it is very small.</p> <p>L111: "...tillwegot..." -> do not use "we", be impersonal</p> <p>Results L153: "Patterns of Waste Management Practice" -> Can the person answer more than one option? please specify this in the methodology. For example: can a person answer that composts part of the waste and incinerates another part? L155: "Compositing" -> Composting Table 2: "Compositing" -> Composting -> Check the word "compositing" throughout the entire text (use the command Ctrl + F) L161: "garbage 42.8%, plastics 35.9%, cans 11.6%, and paper 9.7%" -> what does "garbage" mean? A mixture of plastics, cans, paper and organic matter? what about organic waste?</p>	

ReviewForm 1.7

	<p>L166: "disposeof wasteby friends, children," ->Ididn't understand.</p> <p>Table4:Thefirstlinehasproblems:Firstcolumnwithoutaname,secondandthirdwith the name at the wrongposition</p> <p>Table6:usethenameofthesecondandthirdcolumnwiththesamepatternastheprevious table</p> <p>L191: "According to table 4," ->according to Table 7</p> <p>L191:"agreedthatthereshouldbeagarbagedumpneareverybusstop,"->Accordingto</p> <p>Table 7: "Refuse Dumpshouldbemade close to every bus stop" ->different, confused. L192:</p> <p>"whereas 28.5%, or 115people, disagreed"->Table 7: 115agree ->Very confused.</p> <p>L195-196:"92%(351)—saidtheydo,whileonly8%(53)saidtheydon't."->doesnot match the data showed in table 7.Ididn't understand. Confused.</p> <p>L197:"94.5%(356)saidno,while5.4%(48)saidyes"->doesnotmatchthedatashowed in table 7. Ididn't understand. Confused.</p> <p>L212: "Shown inTable 8 below are" ->Rewrite. Do notuse "below", the table is numbered.</p> <p>Discussion:</p> <p>L218: "part ofus."->donot use "us", beimpersonal</p> <p>L235:"Themajoritywereggarbage"->whatkindofgarbage?Plastic?Paper?Organic garbage?</p> <p>L248: "in our study" ->do not use "our", beimpersonal</p> <p>L254: "2014). inthe"->Correct.</p>	
--	--	--

ReviewForm 1.7

	<p>L256: "Tyagi, et al"->no comma?</p> <p>Conclusion</p> <p>L302:"Residentstendtodisposetheirwasteonthestreet"->wasteonthestreetwasnot discussed in the text.</p> <p>References</p> <p>checkifallreferencescitedinthetextareinthelistandifallthoseinthelistwerecitedin the text.</p>	
Minor REVISIONcomments		
1. Is language/English quality of the articlesuitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Thiago Simonato Mozer
Department, University & Country	Universidade Federal Fluminense, Brazil