

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_98947
Title of the Manuscript:	A STUDY OF MORPHOLOGICAL VARIATIONS OF EXTERNAL CAROTID ARTERY AND RELATIONS TO CAROTID BIFURCATION AND ITS BRANCHES IN ADULT NIGERIAN CADAVERS
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalacri.com/index.php/ACRI/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	yes A STUDY OF MORPHOLOGICAL VARIATIONS OF EXTERNAL CAROTID ARTERY & its BRANCHES AND its RELATIONS TO CAROTID BIFURCATION IN ADULT NIGERIAN CADAVERS In abstract : results need to be rewritten , its not clear Yes, conclusion & its surgical importance to be mentioned Reference – book page no to be mentioned	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Geethanjali. B. S
Department, University & Country	Dayanand Sagar University, India