

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Archives of Current Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_98947
Title of the Manuscript:	A STUDY OF MORPHOLOGICAL VARIATIONS OF EXTERNAL CAROTID ARTERY AND RELATIONS TO CAROTID BIFURCATION AND ITS BRANCHES IN ADULT NIGERIAN CADAVERS
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalacri.com/index.php/ACRI/editorial-policy>

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5.</p> <p>6. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>7. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The author worked hard to evaluate the morphological variants of the External Carotid Artery (ECA), carotid bifurcation and variable branching pattern of ECA. Worldwide comparison of different similar research studies on ECA is worth noting. Undoubtedly this manuscript is a valuable contribution to the literature on ECA and important for scientific community.</p> <p>I would like to suggest a modification in title to make it short and concise <b>'A STUDY OF MORPHOLOGICAL VARIATIONS OF CAROTID BIFURCATION AND BRANCHING PATTERN OF EXTERNAL CAROTID ARTERY IN ADULT NIGERIAN CADAVERS'</b></p> <p>The abstract of the article is comprehensive and well structured.</p> <p>Subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct but I think sample size of 25 cadavers is too small to get correct results and to come out with valid conclusions. It is a humble request to increase the sample size.</p> <p>The references are sufficient and recent.</p> <p>It would be a great study with male - female comparison.</p> <p>The picture quality and dissection quality can be improved for pictures included in figures.</p> <p>Kindly mention right or left side of hemi - neck in figures given.</p> <p>Kindly avoid the term as 'superficial' branches of ECA in the manuscript as they are classified as anterior, posterior, medial, terminal and not superficial in my knowledge</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The language/English quality of the article need minor negligible corrections.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>I am thankful to the author and publisher of such a prestigious journal for providing me an opportunity to review this manuscript. Best wishes to the author....</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anjalee Ovhal
Department, University & Country	Jaipur National University, India