

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | International Journal of Environment and Climate Change |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_IJECC_98340 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | DETERMINANTS OF HOUSEHOLD FUELWOOD CONSUMPTION IN PUBLIC RESIDENTIAL ESTATES IN MAIDUGURI, BORNO STATE, NIGERIA |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <p>1. Environmental degradation due to the use of conventional fuels has become a global concern. Therefore, the policy actions and strategies based on economic and socio-demographic characteristics of households for sustainable energy use and environmental sustainability are of great importance to the scientific community.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is not appropriate, and an alternative title is suggested, for example, "Study On The Determination Of Wood Fuel Consumption In Household Public Plantations In Maiduguri, Borno Negara, Nigeria".</p> <p>3. Yes, the abstract of the article comprehensive</p> <p>4. Subsections and structure of the manuscript are not appropriate. In section 3 preferably the methodology, subsection 3.1 Population, and sample, subsection 3.2 Sampling techniques, subsection 3.3 Data analysis, section 4. Results and discussion, section 5 Conclusions and recommendations.</p> <p>5. Yes, I think the manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>6. Yes, the references are sufficient and up-to-date, but the writing format is still not in accordance with the correct citation rules. For example, the book "Yamane, T. (1967). Statistics: An introductory analysis, 2nd Edition, New York: Harper and Row".</p> <p>For cites:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - UNFCCC (2015). Nigeria's Intended Nationally Determined Contribution, Retrieved July 28, 2019 from http://www4.unfccc.int/submissions/INDC/Published%20Documents/Nigeria/1/Approved%20Nigeria's%20INDC_271115.pdf. - United Nations Development Programme (2015). Sustainable Fuelwood Management in Nigeria. Retrieved July 28, 2019 from http://www.undp.org - United Nations Development Programme. Sustainable Development Goals. Goal: Affordable and clean energy. Retrieved July 28, 2019 from http://www.undp.org, access time is too long. | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | <p>1. The quality of the language/English of the article is suitable for scientific communication, however, it is necessary to pay attention to the use of punctuation marks. please re-read the script.</p> | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - It is better if you write numbers for sections and subsections without including zeros. for example: 1. introduction. 2. literature review etc. - Consent does not need to be written separately, and can be explained in the methodology. | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Name: | Pribadyo |
| Department, University & Country | Teuku Umar University, Indonesia |