

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_95254
Title of the Manuscript:	Staphylococcus species Isolated from Abattoir in Obio/Akpor and Eleme Local Government Areas of Rivers State
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamb.com/index.php/JAMB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 1. <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> is a major human pathogen which causes a extensive variety of clinical infections. Kindly mentions complications associated with such kind of infections. 2. Mention precaution need to be follow to avoid such kind of infections. 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Yes 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Yes 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Yes 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Yes 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. 1. Recent referencing is needed 2. reference citation at appropriate places need to be done.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohini Chetan Kuchekar
Department, University & Country	Progressive Education Society's Modern College of Pharmacy, India