

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_90068
Title of the Manuscript:	ICTs in Smart Agriculture: Paths to Build SDGs of Bangladesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article
Date received	July 26, 2022
Date due	July 30, 2022

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajarr.com/index.php/AJARR/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The topic of the research is practical and contemporary</p> <p>The abstract is adequate, it represents the paper in its parts. It needs proofreading {see suggestion in attached corrected paper]</p> <p>Keywords are adequate</p> <p>The introduction and background are appropriate, clear, and streamlined. However, we have a problem of lack of a large number of citations to validate facts and numbers [See suggestions to remove this problem]</p> <p>Literature review:</p> <p>is adequate though needing heavy validation with references in certain parts [see corrected manuscript]  <b>You have to be very careful here, most of your references / Citations are not reported in the Lst of references....Also, remove any extra references not used in the text</b></p> <p>The methodology is adequate and clear. It needs proofreading [See all suggested additions and corrections]</p> <p>Discussion            It is very important to identify main points in your paper to discuss here... So, you need to review your literature review and any discussion pertinent to the discussion and results must be integrated together!!!!</p> <p>The existing part is clear and streamlined in its first part, though needing editing and suggestions are added. However, the last part needs more explanation [more elaboration]. Needs validation as well.</p> <p>Conclusion and recommendations are fair and need elaboration with supporting references as well</p> <p><b>Review carefully the write up of the references for consistency and to match the journal's requirements.... Add all the suggested references and citations... Also remove all extra references that are not used in your text!!!</b></p> <p>Proofreading is heavily needed [see all suggestions]</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Hussin Jose Hejase</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Al Maaref University, Lebanon</b>