

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Research Journal of Natural Products
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SARJNP_98504
Title of the Manuscript:	Chelating Agents Effects on Cofactors of Enzymes
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalsarjnp.com/index.php/SARJNP/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Important as it explores plants' defense mechanisms against injury and pathogens 2. Chelating Agents' Effects on Cofactors of Enzymes 3. Need to include the research gap, and it has to summaries the research outline with a convincing conclusion 4. Good, though the results are not well that presented 5. It will correct if written in the correct third person. The researchers should avoid words such as "we", "our" etc 6. insufficient references, the standard is that at least one reference per page. 6 references for a manuscript, are not enough	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	A third person use of language is recommended	
Optional/General comments	This manuscript is supposed to written in third person. The writers are supposed to master the art of a good paper presentation.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shumba Tobias Takawira
Department, University & Country	Harare Polytechnic, Zimbabwe