

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_96673
Title of the Manuscript:	Sinusoidal PWM vs SVPWM for NPC Multilevel inverter with Proportional control based neutral point voltage controller for induction motor drive
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	yes yes Yes Yes. Significant contribution of the author is not there NO, only very few are recent, the remaining references are very old. Update the latest references Simulation results subheading can be reframed to Simulation Results and Comparative study. Include a comparison table of SVPWM and Sine PWM-based induction motor drives. Investigation of results is missing	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes. Check some grammatical errors are there. Check throughout the manuscript.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	N. Kalaiarasi
Department, University & Country	College of Engineering and Technology, SRMIST, India