

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Research and Reports in Hematology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJR2H_98305
Title of the Manuscript:	GUESSTIMATING ANAEMIA IN HEPATIS B AND HEPATITIS C PATIENTS RECEIVING CARE IN UNIVERSITY OF PORT HARCOURT TEACHING HOSPITAL (UPTH).
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijr2h.com/index.php/IJR2H/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?  4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?  6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	1. The manuscript is important for the scientific community if the early identification of haematological parameters abnormality is done, then it can prevent complications. 2. Title of the article says guesstimating anaemia. The word "guesstimating" is not appropriate. Anemia is one of the parameters along with other parameters like MCH, MCV, MCHC, types of cell abnormality. So the title is not appropriate. Title can be "Haematological parameters in HBV and HCV patients to prevent complications". 3. Abstract of the article is not structured. 4. Subsections and structure of the manuscript are fine. 5. Manuscript has small loopholes like sample collected is EDTA sample from which they say that serum is taken for testing of HBV and HCV. Description of slide preparation is not required. 6. References are fine.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English language is fine.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Prakash R
Department, University & Country	Rajarajeswari Medical College & Hospital, India