

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Research and Reports in Hematology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJR2H_98305
Title of the Manuscript:	GUESSTIMATING ANAEMIA IN HEPATIS B AND HEPATITIS C PATIENTS RECEIVING CARE IN UNIVERSITY OF PORT HARCOURT TEACHING HOSPITAL (UPTH).
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijr2h.com/index.php/IJR2H/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes Yes Yes Yes To some extent Needs others	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - In the title, the authors have to delete the (.) at the end. - In the abstract, line (14), the authors have to change (0) into (Nine). - In the results, the authors have to change the title (3 Results) into (3. Results). - In the results, line (6), the authors have to change (Table) into (Table 1). - In the results, page (6), the authors have to change (Table 1) into (Table 1:). - In the results, page (6), the authors have to adjust the paragraph lines end. - In the results, page (8), the authors have to change (Table 4) into (Table 4:). - In the discussion, page (9), paragraph (2), the authors have to change (—) into (-). - In all table legends, the authors have to put (.) at the end. - The authors have to update the references. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bahaa Kenawy Abuel-Hussien
Department, University & Country	Minia University, Egypt