

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_99421
Title of the Manuscript:	Study of Soil and Crop Parameters of Coconut Orchard for Design of Tractor Operated Coconut Basin Lister cum Fertilizer Applicator
Type of the Article	Research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>Yes, but further elaboration is need to elucidate how measured parameters will help in general designing of the machine etc. This research has measured the parameters, but a connecting sentence or data or information is needed how this manuscript is important for scientific community in general.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Structure of the manuscript needs some changes as mentioned in the comments section in the manuscript.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>It would be more pleasure, if some recent and more appropriate references are added. For this further information can be extracted from patents, youtube, blogs etc. in addition to research or review papers</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	1. Further data on how the measurement of these parameters help in increasing the efficiency of the machine can make this article more appropriate and elaborative.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Madhu Smita
Department, University & Country	India