

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_98876</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effect of phosphorus and boron on growth, yield and economics of green gram (Vigna radiata L.)</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments  1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<b>opinion</b> 1. The proposal is interesting because it provides knowledge related to the response of phosphorus and boron in variables of height, number of branches, formation of nodules, dry matter and cost/benefit, it meets the requirement of a scientific article. 2. Meets recommendation, but citations will need to be improved 3. The abstract is complete, it meets the requirements for a scientific article. 4. It complies with the proposed methodology. 5. It complies with the suggestion of writing a scientific proposal, it has to improve its discussion. 6. Citations are recent, some previous references are necessary for the topic analyzed  From my point of view, in the analysis of variance, the R2 should have been reported, the level of significance, the test for comparison of means, it should also have presented a graph of the study variables.	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Regarding the quality of the language, I do not have enough elements to give an answer	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Promote and publish research on essential elements and their relationship with phenological variables, grain yield and cost/benefit of this crop under the conditions in which the research was carried out	

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Ricardo Gonzalez Mateos</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Autonomous University of Guerrero, Mexico</b>