

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Medical and Pharmaceutical Case Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJMPCR_98763
Title of the Manuscript:	CLINICAL INSIGHT ON WARFARIN INDUCED DIFFUSE ALVEOLAR HAEMORRHAGE – A CASE REPORT
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijmPCR.com/index.php/IJMPCR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes, there is need for more knowledge regarding warfarin and DAH Yes The Background in the Abstract requires a sentence that links warfarin in managing e.g., CAD/DVT/CVDs and that there are patients that present with multiple concurrent conditions. Yes Yes Yes Laboratory data were reported as Hb - 8.1g/dl(13-15g/dl), TLC - 9140cells/mm ³ (4000-11000 cells/mm ³), Platelet count - 212000cells/mm ³ (150000-450000cells/mm ³), Procal - 0.095, CRP - 28, Na - 135, K - 4.39, Blood urea - 46, Creatinine - 0.83, PT - 31.7sec (11.1-12.9secs), INR 2.72(0.8-1.2) and liver function test was normal. This paragraph requires units and reference ranges on all the measurands Table 1 on blood gases/acid-base needs units Should also read 'liver function tests were normal'	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Older people may have multiple concurrent ailments, and thus frequently receive multiple medications. Hence, side effects and ADRs are an issue with medication [2]. Young people can also have multiple concurrent ailments and the authors may need to expand or/and clarify "issue with medication" explaining more.	
Optional/General comments	A general review of presentation, there are words starting with capital letters, these can be edited e.g., page 3, second paragraph 'Withheld' should be 'withheld'	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Phillip Bwititi
Department, University & Country	Charles Sturt University, Australia