

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_99621
Title of the Manuscript:	EMERGING WEED PROBLEMS UNDER CHANGING CLIMATIC CONDITION – A REVIEW
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The manuscript gives a few important insights to that are useful to the scientific community. Though much more practical research needs to be done on the same.</p> <p>2. The title well suits the manuscript.</p> <p>3. The abstract is quite vague and thus needs to be more elaborate on content.</p> <p>4. Some of the subsections are not appropriately expounded on. There should be proper sections showing where the 'Introduction' ends and where the other aspects of the manuscript begin.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically sound.</p> <p>6. The references are adequate, though some are old. Those that are below 2005 should be replaced with recent ones where possible.</p> <p>Additionally;</p> <p>1. The word 'condition' within the Topic should be made plural to make it more grammatically sound.</p> <p>2. The Abstract should entirely be a single paragraph with a line spacing of 1.0.</p> <p>3. Paragraphing. Since the indented format of paragraphing has been used, there should be no space before or after the paragraphs.</p> <p>4. All the references should be numbered and be on their own page. In addition, all the in-text citations should be replaced by their numbers in the reference page and in square brackets. i.e. [2] [4,5]</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	The language is appropriate	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bryan Okoth Omondi
Department, University & Country	University of Eldoret, Kenya