

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_99474
Title of the Manuscript:	MANUAL SHELLING OF PRECONDITIONED CASHEW NUT USING HANDTOOL AND ITS IMPACTON OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH OF WORKERS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. important article to know the influence of the organization on work performance and safety</p> <p>2. The title is good, the name of the place should not be included, so that the scope is wider</p> <p>3. In the abstract, researchers should provide a description of the research with only 2-3 lines. Then state what the purpose of the research is (Research objectives are not focused on a problem), the type and design of the research, population and sample, what data is used, then the results of the data analysis along with the data analysis tests used.</p> <p>4. Need improvement in several parts, such as Tujuan, methods, Result, discussion and conclusion</p> <p>5. It needs improvement so that it is more complete so that it becomes scientifically correct</p> <p>6. References should be added, and references sourced from journal articles should be published in the last 5 years</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Fine, but you need to pay attention to the use of auxiliary words. We recommend using proofreading</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>needs improvement according to the reviewer's suggestions</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hendrik
Department, University & Country	Indonesia