

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_98818
Title of the Manuscript:	Awareness of zoonotic diseases among the Bachelor of science new entrants at the Open University of Sri Lanka in 2020
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrid.com/index.php/AJRID/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	The manuscript is a good contribution for the scientific community. The use of online forms is also a modern trend in questionnaire administration. The title of the article is suitable The abstract is comprehensive. However, some corrections have been suggested in the manuscript. Yes Yes Yes The "results" and "discussion" were written together. I would suggest a separation of the Results and Discussion even as subsections for clarity. The tables could be presented in a better form (as mentioned in the review)	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes. However, some grammatical mistakes were noted and corrected in the review section.	
Optional/General comments	This article should be accepted for publication after the corrections are made (see review).	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bamidele Nyemike Ogunro
Department, University & Country	University of Ibadan, Nigeria