

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_99555
Title of the Manuscript:	A MULTI-DISCIPLINARY INVESTIGATION ON MINIMIZING LINEARIZATION DEVIATIONS IN DIFFERENT REGRESSION MODELS
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpas.com/index.php/AJPAS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	1. No. This paper is just comparing R squares for different models and three datasets. It is not a pioneering study as stated by the author. 2. yes 3. No. It says the study is "to examine minimizing linearization deviations". However, it is just comparing R squares. 4. Methods sections is not about methods. It is just introduction of datasets. Needs more details about the "methods". 5. Yes. 6. Yes.	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Page 2: SPSS 25.0 for Windows. Page 3 below table 4: whereas <u>linear</u> is the less fitted model	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Junyi Dong
Department, University & Country	Saint Ambrose University, USA