

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Immunology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJI_99141
Title of the Manuscript:	The importance of the variability of leucocyte zinc transporter 8 (ZnT8) gene expression
Type of the Article	Review Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalaji.com/index.php/AJI/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes Yes No – it should be improved upon to provide an overview of a summation of the review article please Just about alright but further subsections could be provided or included  Yes, but it should be improved upon to make easy reading for the average clinician, a health care staff of all disciplines, as well as a patient. Yes but the writing of the references should be improved and I have provided supporting corrections for majority of the references which should be shared with the authors to reflect upon and incorporate if they are happy with my corrections as well as suggestions please	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It is generally alright but I have made some corrections which should be shared with the authors please	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anthony Kodzo-Grey Venyo
Department, University & Country	North Manchester General Hospital, United Kingdom