

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_98156
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Survey of Parasites of African catfish <i>Clarias gariepinus</i> (Burchell, 1822) in Ajiwa and Zobe Reservoirs in Katsina State, North-Western Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>The data presented is the repetition of previous work with different location but may be useful for scientific community.</p> <p>Title needs revision as indicated in the manuscript. The title should not contain reference.</p> <p>Abstract is O.K. with corrections suggested in the manuscript.</p> <p>Subsections aor OK</p> <p>Manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>References are sufficient. Number of references may be reduced particularly in METHODOLOGY section.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<p>The language of the manuscript needs critical revision. There are too many grammatical mistakes. Mant sentences are not clear for communication purposes. Few changes have been marked in the revised manuscript.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rajesh Kumar Joshi
Department, University & Country	College of Veterinary Science and Animal Husbandry, Acharya Narendra Deva University of Agriculture and Technology, India