

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJESS_99261 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | EXPLORING REASONS FOR NOT TAKING ANNUAL VACATION LEAVE BY GOVERNMENT TEACHERS IN SELECTED PRIMARY SCHOOLS IN LUSAKA DISTRICT, ZAMBIA |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) | 1- Yes, any manuscript could be scientifically valuable if it meets the required criteria 2- It needs some change to make it more attractive (I commented in main paper) 3- Abstract must be compose of 9 main items (an aim, study population, sample and its way of sampling, tools for data gathering, validity and reliability of the tools, way of analysis, findings, key words) and avoid giving extra explanations. The author(s) are requested to keep the rule of essay-writing 4- Some minor change must be done (I commented in main paper) 5- Yes, it does 6-Yes, references are acceptable: however, some of the references have not been mentioned in the body of the paper(I commented in main paper) Being grateful to the author(s), I hope they make the above-mentioned corrections to prepare the article/paper for being published | |
| Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Yes, of course some of changes must grammatically be done (I commented them in main paper) | |
| Optional/General comments | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Zahra Arefnezhad |
| Department, University & Country | Parvin Etesami Trustee High School, Iran |