

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_100249
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPLEMENTATION OF ECOLOGICAL SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT CITY ORDINANCE: THE CASE OF A COMPONENT CITY IN SOUTHERN NEGROS
Type of the Article	Original article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes specific to certain area</p> <p>2. Please indicate the name of the country</p> <p>3. In the abstract the number of sample is different from methodology, the sample size is 513 or 520?</p> <p>4. Subsection appropriate</p> <p>5. Scientifically correct but can be improved</p> <p>6. Yes</p> <p>7. Suggestion</p> <p>In introduction section: Why choose these areas Negros occidental, is there any specific reason?</p> <p>In research instrument section: Please explain the procedure to design the survey instrument. Is it from previous journal, report or study.</p> <p>In section 3.8 : Since the kruskal wallis is significant should continue with mann whitney between population and explain which population showed difference. Or can use Dunn test according to table 8</p> <p>In section 3.8: pls mention between which population according to table 9 In section 3.8: Suggestion to include which income classification according to table 11</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Suggestion to send for proof reading	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	NZM Saat
Department, University & Country	Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Malaysia