

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGMB_98680
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF Bryophyllum pinnatum LEAF EXTRACT CONSUMPTION ON LIPID CONCENTRATIONS AND CARDIOVASCULAR RISK INDICES IN APPARENTLY HEALTHY INDIVIDUALS.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajbgmb.com/index.php/AJBGMB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The study team's efforts are appreciated.</p> <p>1. The use of complementary medicine methods (like Bryophyllum pinnatum) unlike drug treatments, despite being economical, and their implementation is simple and well accepted by the individual.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is interesting and new.</p> <p>3. Abstract:</p> <p>a. Method section is not informative. Method section needs more explanation. It confuses. "Samples taken before the commencement of the study served as control samples, while samples collected on the 1st, 7th and 14th day served as test samples".</p> <p>b. which tests were used for? It needs to explain. What about calculating sample size?</p> <p>c. In the abstract results section, please report the mean of the examined variables and make the results more comprehensive.</p> <p>4. Apart from the discussion, the other items are suitable</p> <p>5. suitable</p> <p>6. Please use update references. Some of them are under 5 years.</p> <p>7. More information about Bryophyllum pinnatum is needed in the introduction, as well as why, how they believe Bryophyllum pinnatum is beneficial for lipid concentrations and cardiovascular risk indices. Especially before stating the purpose of this research.</p> <p>8. Method: How to select research samples needs to be explained. In which time of a day these information was gathered? In total the descriptions are so confusing and vague.</p> <p>9. There are many tables. Arrange the table appropriately. Result can be shown in less tables. It confuses the results.</p> <p>10. Discussion needs improvement. The content of the discussion expressed in the form of a report needs to be compared with the studies done in favor or against the current results.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>suitable</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pouran Varvani Farahani
Department, University & Country	Near East University, Cyprus