

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGMB_98680
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF Bryophyllum pinnatum LEAF EXTRACT CONSUMPTION ON LIPID CONCENTRATIONS AND CARDIOVASCULAR RISK INDICES IN APPARENTLY HEALTHY INDIVIDUALS.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajbgmb.com/index.php/AJBGMB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	yes	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes More elaborate information is required wrt extraction and consumption of the plant extract	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?		
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Appropriate	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	Yes	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Add additional references after 2019. The number is also less.	
Minor REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments	The Manuscript should have more extensive literature review on Kalanchoe extract and its use in different concentrations to people of different age groups. The manuscript doesn't take into account that. Moreover tests are carried in a pathological laboratory under expert guidance, which is not mentioned.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Apekshita Singh
Department, University & Country	Amity Institute of Biotechnology, Amity University, India