

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_100228
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluating the magnitudes of finance availed by farmers from different financial agencies in the North-East Indian State of Tripura
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments 1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> 4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> 5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> 6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	1. Yes, as the manuscript focuses on evaluating the magnitudes of finance availed by farmers from different financial agencies in the North-East Indian State of Tripura, it will give perspective to the impact and services of financial agencies on the farmers. 2. Yes, the title is apt as it covers all the variables stated in the title. 3. Yes, the abstract is comprehensive and more keywords like finance, evaluation etc. can be incorporated. 4. Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript are apt. 5. Yes, as it adds to the perspective for other researchers by giving appropriate implementations/recommendations. 6. Yes, the references are apt. 7. Add more literature reviews. 8. Rationale of the study must be incorporated. 9. Objectives of the study must be incorporated. 10. Incorporate the research design used for the study. 11. Elaborate why the specific sampling technique was used for the study. 12. Incorporate/elaborate the statistical technique used for data analysis.	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes, few grammatical corrections as suggested in the reviewed manuscript must be incorporated.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	NA	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Meenakshi
Department, University & Country	NCERT, India