

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_100095
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Training Programme of Krishi Vigyan Kendra in Changing the Knowledge of the Farmers in Barwani District of Madhya Pradesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>The manuscript is important as it surveys impact of trainings on farmers. Such grassroots studies are essential for agricultural development.</p> <p>The title is suitable and meaningful.</p> <p>Research paper contents comprehensive abstract stating need of the farmers training, sample selected and the prime results.</p> <p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate.</p> <p>In my opinion the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>Yes, references sufficient and recent</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language of the research paper lucid and communicates the message properly.	
Optional/General comments	Bihare, Govinda; VK Swarnkar and SK Badodiya (2022) Efficacy of trainings programme among the tribal farmers provided by Krishi Vigyan Kendra's of West Nimar Region Madhya Pradesh. J Krishi Vigyan 11(1):158-161	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jagdish Narharrao Kulkarni
Department, University & Country	Swami Ramanand Teerth Marathwada University, India