

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRB_99509
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of the Effect of Methanol Seed Extract of Carica papaya (Pawpaw) on the Hematological Indices and Renal Health of Rats induced Kidney Damage.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajrb.com/index.php/AJRB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Yes , may be helpful for the animals .But needs detailed testing	
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	Evaluation of the Effect of Methanol Seed Extract of <i>Carica papaya</i> (Pawpaw) on the Renal Health of Rats induced Kidney Damage.	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	No	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	Can include more	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Hematological parameters are not at all included in the study.The histopathological changes are not described adequately.Need lot of improvement	
Minor REVISION comments	Needs spelling check and grammar correction	
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	Needs revision	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shruthi H P
Department, University & Country	Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, India