

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Hematology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AHRJ_99084
Title of the Manuscript:	HEMOGRAM AND LIPID PROFILE IN GESTATIONAL DIABETES PATIENTS :ANALYSIS OF EFFECT OF GESTATIONAL DIABETES MELLITUS ON DIFFERENT PARAMETERS AND ITS ASSOCIATION TO THE COMPLICATION AT TERM
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalahrj.com/index.php/AHRJ/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Several articles have been published in this title which was mere, and a decision to be made by the editor.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No statistical evaluation is shown in the results and discussion section. In the abstract section, the aim, methods, and result explain GDM but in the conclusion section, the total cholesterol, VLDL, etc., It is recommended to show the link between those two explanations.</p> <p>Refer the point # 1. Refer the point # 1.</p> <p>1. Several articles have been published in this title which was mere.</p> <p>E.g a) Rahnemaei FA, Pakzad R, Amirian A, Pakzad I, Abdi F. Effect of gestational diabetes mellitus on lipid profile: A systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Open Med (Wars)</i>. 2021 Dec 15;17(1):70-86. doi: 10.1515/med-2021-0408. PMID: 34993347; PMCID: PMC8678474.</p> <p>b) Hu J, Gillies CL, Lin S, Stewart ZA, Melford SE, Abrams KR, Baker PN, Khunti K, Tan BK. Association of maternal lipid profile and gestational diabetes mellitus: A systematic review and meta-analysis of 292 studies and 97,880 women. <i>EClinicalMedicine</i>. 2021 Apr 16;34:100830. doi: 10.1016/j.eclinm.2021.100830. PMID: 33997732; PMCID: PMC8102708.</p> <p>c) Comparison of hematological parameters between type 2 diabetes mellitus patients and healthy controls at Dessie comprehensive specialized hospital, Northeast Ethiopia: Comparative cross-sectional study</p> <p>Hussen Ebrahim , Temesgen Fiseha, Yesuf Ebrahim, Habtye Bisetegn</p> <p>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0272145</p> <p>So, it is recommended to show the evidence for the significant insights of this article by the authors.</p> <p>2. Abbreviations shall be recommended to maintain throughout the article and those shall be explained in the context.</p> <p>3. Avoid abbreviations in the abstract.</p> <p>4. No statistical evaluation is shown in the results and discussion section.</p> <p>5. In the abstract section, the aim, methods, and result explain the GDM but in the conclusion section, the total cholesterol, VLDL, etc., It is recommended to show the link between those two explanations.</p> <p>6. Limitations of this study to be explained and included.</p> <p>7. References section to be written as per the journal guidelines.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Minor REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Enclosed the Grammar report	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	N. Balaji
Department, University & Country	St. Peter's Institute of Higher Education and Research, India