

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_98530
Title of the Manuscript:	Synthesis and Determination of Antimicrobial Potentials of Secondary Metabolite of Streptomyces longisporoflavus and its 3D-Protein Structural Prediction
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamps.com/index.php/JAMPS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes, the manuscript incorporates all the techniques of microbiology and biochemistry to analyse the microbe and its metabolites and their efficacies against other clinical isolates. No, Title should be more precise. (Isolation of Streptomyces longisporoflavus, identification, characterization and antimicrobial efficacies of secondary metabolites isolated from it.) It should be more explanatory and precise Yes the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate Yes the manuscript is scientifically correct References included in the study are not sufficient, also the references are not recent. The study here must include references after 2015.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Minor revision in language and grammar are required	
Optional/General comments	The study conducted in aforesaid article is novel and has covered many aspects of biological sciences, but the presentation herein the article requires more affirmation in language and discussion of results also the references included should be latest and recent.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Richa Bhardwaj
Department, University & Country	IIS (Deemed to be University), India