

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_99253
Title of the Manuscript:	Effective administration of plant growth regulators in Horticultural
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>yes</p> <p>Good</p> <p>fair</p> <p>fair</p> <p>good</p> <p>fair. But the reference are not in format.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	good	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>While the review provides a broad overview of the potential benefits and applications of PGRs in modern horticulture, it lacks depth in some areas. For example, while it briefly mentions the potential impact of PGRs on human health and the environment, it does not go into detail about specific concerns or potential risks associated with their use. This is an important area of research that warrants further exploration and discussion.</p> <p>Additionally, the review does not address the potential drawbacks or limitations of using PGRs. For instance, excessive use of PGRs can have negative impacts on plant growth and development, and can even result in reduced crop yields. It is important to carefully consider the appropriate dosage and application of PGRs to avoid these negative effects.</p> <p>Furthermore, the review primarily focuses on the use of PGRs in industrialized countries and may not fully capture the unique challenges and opportunities associated with using PGRs in developing nations. It would be valuable to include more case studies and examples from a broader range of countries and agricultural systems to provide a more comprehensive understanding of the benefits and limitations of using PGRs in different contexts</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	V. N. Meena Devi
Department, University & Country	Noorul Islam Centre for Higher Education, India