

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_98781
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Spacing and Nitrogen Management on Yield and Economics of Summer Sesame (Sesamum indicum L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The manuscript express significances to knowledge of science. The tittle is compressive and is ok. The abstract seems ok, but it requires some revision. The abstract should capture brief problem background, aim, brief methodology, important results achieved, conclusion or recommendation if any. Yes, but it requires serious revision as highlighted in the reviewed manuscript. Yes. All the references used in the manuscript are too old, except in very rare situation. There additional recent references be included 	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, but it requires proofread to minimizes the mistakes and errors.	
Optional/General comments	In Introduction i) The introduction should capture more of problem background than just giving description. ii) Introduction should have adequate citations in it. iii) The last paragraph in the introduction should briefly state the objective. In material and Methods (the following to be consider) i) Each subheading should be separate and briefly explain. ii) Citation is required if adopting the method where necessary. In results and discussion i) Briefly compared the current finding with previous results in the literature. ii) The reference should be references as well.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Hizballahi Usman
Department, University & Country	Sokoto State University, Nigeria