

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_CJAST_99428</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effect of different levels of pruning intensity and foliar feeding of NAA on growth, yield and quality attributes of phalsa (<i>Grewia subinaequalis</i> D.C.) cv. Sharbati</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments 1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> 4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> 5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> 6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	1. For the community scientific, this article contributes to explain the effect of pruning. Pruning of branches is essential indeed in natural forests the branches die, rot and fall. Different factors can cause defects in the formation of trees; hence the scientific and economic interest of this experimental work. The experimental work focus was therefore aimed at, to assess, the effect of different pruning intensity and NAA concentrations on growth, yield and quality of Phalsa. Different means can increase the production of quality of this culture at the lowest cost. 2. The title is appropriate and suitable; 3. The abstract is objective and comprehensive. 4. Yes it is. 5. I think that this article is scientifically correct and very interesting. 6. The references are acceptable.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	1. The English quality of the article is acceptable and suitable.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Reinforce the bibliography with updated references.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Nedjah Nawel</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Annaba Higher School of Industrial Technology, Algeria</b>