

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_98073
Title of the Manuscript:	Proximate and Mineral Composition of Ripe Plantain Peels, Palm Oil Mill Slurry Obtained from Different Locations in Edo State, a part of Southern Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjust.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Manuscript is not properly explain. Result can be shown graphically. 2. Ok 3. It's slightly confusing. Need language clarity. 4. ok 5. To justify the work references are to be correlated. More scientific data need to be incorporated to proof it scientifically correct. 6. More references are to be attached, only 11-12 is not sufficient.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Check spelling and sentence phrasing.	
Optional/General comments	Images can be more clear. Title can be made more appropriate Graphical representation could be good.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Quadri Syeda Amreen Majiduddin
Department, University & Country	Dr. Babasaheb Marathwada University, India